

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignica 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

_					
L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/081,862	02/20/2002	Kit G. Baldwin	430117.413C1	2604
	500 7	590 07/02/2003			
	SEED INTEL 701 FIFTH AV	LECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
	SUITE 6300	E	PHASGE, ARUN S		
	SEATTLE, WA	A 98104-7092			
	·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1753	8
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ AS
			Application No.	Applicant(s)	- /(-
ı	Offic	Action Cumman-	10/081,862	BALDWIN ET AL.	
ı	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			Arun S. Phasge	1753	
Period fo	The MAIL or Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING [nsions of time r SIX (6) MONTI period for reply period for reply re to reply withi eply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) is cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed I thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications of the communication of the co	cation.
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> □			is action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this closed in on of Clair	s application is in condition for allowa accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the me C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)🖾	Claim(s)	<i>1-15</i> is/are pending in the application	· I.		
	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	•	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>-15</u> is/are rejected.			•
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
	on Papers		•	•	
9)□ ד	he specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)[] T	he drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant	may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	he propos	ed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
		d, corrected drawings are required in rep		5 .	
12)∐ T	he oath or	declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.O	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[]Ali b)□	Some * c) None of:			
•	I.☐ Certi	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2.☐ Certi	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
	3.□ Copi a	es of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ched detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have bed	en received in this National Stage	
		ment is made of a claim for domestic			nation)
_ a)	The tra	nslation of the foreign language prov ment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has	been received.	
Attachment(,,	, , , , === unu/01 121.	
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftspers ation Disclosu	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ire Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.7</u>	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.	lemark Office 04-01)	Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,862

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,358,395 B1 in view of Okazaki, U.S. Patent 5,944,978. The claims of the instant application when read in light of the specification are rendered obvious by the invention described in the prior patent in view of the Okazaki patent.

The prior patent claims the instant method and apparatus for the treatment of water comprising first filter, reverse osmosis housing, a second filter, an electrolytic cell, a tap and an electronic control circuit (see claims 1-18).

The reference does not disclose the use of a mineral supplement device coupled to the housing containing the osmotic membrane or the types of valves or the use of carbon filters. The Okazaki patent discloses the use of the mineral supplement device, the same types of filters and various valves and the benefits obtained by these modification (see claims 1-8 and col. 3, line 8 to col. 6, line 60).

Consequently the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the claims of the prior patent in view of the Okazaki patent, because the Okazaki patent teaches that such modification would produce the improvements described therein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (703) 308-2528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Application/Control Number: 10/081,862

Art Unit: 1753

Page 4

assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

asp June 29, 2003